ait ex his, quae videntur, nihil esse uno excepto 1 universo. Zenon Eleates omnia negotia de negotio deiecit: ait nihil esse. Circa eadem fere Pyrrhonei versantur et Megarici et Eretrici et Academici, qui 45 novam induxerunt scientiam, nihil scire. Haec omnia in illum supervacuum studiorum liberalium gregem coice; illi mihi non profuturam scientiam tradunt, hi spem omnis scientiae eripiunt. Satius est supervacua scire quam nihil. Illi non praeferunt lumen, per quod acies derigatur ad verum; hi oculos mihi effodiunt. Si Protagorae credo, nihil in rerum natura est nisi dubium; si Nausiphani, hoc unum certum est, nihil esse certi; si Parmenidi, nihil est praeter unum; si Zenoni, ne unum quidem.

46 Quid ergo nos sumus? Quid ista, quae nos circumstant, alunt, sustinent? Tota rerum natura umbra est aut inanis aut fallax. Non facile dixerim, utris magis irascar, illis, qui nos nihil scire voluerunt, an illis, qui ne hoc quidem nobis reliquerunt. nihil

scire. VALE.

LXXXIX

SENECA LUCILIO SVO SALVTEM

1 Rem utilem desideras et ad sapientiam³ prope-

1 uno excepto inserted by Kalbfleisch; nihil esse universo Vb.

² Eretrici Lipsius; cretici Vb. ³ ad sapientiam later MSS.; sapientem B.

^a In other words, the unchangeable, perfect Being of the universe is contrasted with the mutable Non-Being of opinion and unreality.

b i.e., the universe.

[·] See §§ 9 ff., which give the normal division.

EPISTLES LXXXVIII., LXXXIX.

exists of all this which seems to exist, except the universe alone.a Zeno of Elea removed all the difficulties by removing one; for he declares that nothing exists. The Pyrrhonean, Megarian, Eretrian, and Academic schools are all engaged in practically the same task; they have introduced a new knowledge, non-knowledge. You may sweep all these theories in with the superfluous troops of "liberal" studies; the one class of men give me a knowledge that will be of no use to me, the other class do away with any hope of attaining knowledge. It is better, of course, to know useless things than to know nothing. One set of philosophers offers no light by which I may direct my gaze toward the truth; the other digs out my very eyes and leaves me blind. If I cleave to Protagoras, there is nothing in the scheme of nature that is not doubtful; if I hold with Nausiphanes, I am sure only of this-that everything is unsure; if with Parmenides, there is nothing except the One b; if with Zeno, there is not even the One.

What are we, then? What becomes of all these things that surround us, support us, sustain us? The whole universe is then a vain or deceptive shadow. I cannot readily say whether I am more vexed at those who would have it that we know nothing, or with those who would not leave us even this privilege. Farewell.

LXXXIX. ON THE PARTS OF PHILOSOPHY 6

It is a useful fact that you wish to know, one which is essential to him who hastens after wisdom

ranti necessariam, dividi philosophiam et ingens corpus eius in membra disponi. Facilius enim per partes in cognitionem 1 totius adducimur. Utinam quidem quemadmodum universa mundi facies in conspectum venit, ita philosophia tota nobis posset occurrere, simillimum mundo spectaculum. Profecto enim omnes mortales in admirationem sui raperet relictis iis, quae nunc magna magnorum ignorantia credimus. Sed quia contingere hoc non potest, est sic 2 nobis aspicienda,3 quemadmodum mundi secreta cernuntur.

Sapientis quidem animus totam molem eius amplectitur nec minus illam velociter obit quam caelum acies nostra; nobis autem, quibus perrumpenda caligo est et quorum visus in proximo deficit, singula quaeque ostendi facilius possunt universi nondum capacibus. Faciam ergo quod exigis, et philosophiam in partes, non in frusta,4 dividam. Dividi enim illam, non concidi, utile est. Nam conprehendere quem-3 admodum maxima ita minima difficile est. Discribitur in tribus populus, in centurias exercitus. Quicquid in maius crevit, facilius agnoscitur, si discessit in partes, quas, ut dixi, innumerabiles esse et parvulas non oportet. Idem enim vitii habet nimia quod nulla divisio; simile confuso est, quidquid usque in pulverem sectum est.

1 cognitionem later MSS.; cogitationem B. 2 est sic Buecheler; et sic B; et sic erit later MSS. 3 aspicienda Mentel.; abscienda B. 4 frusta later MSS.; frustra B.

See Plato, especially Symposium 211 ff.

b i.e., an infinitely small divisio is the same as its opposite -confusio.

EPISTLE LXXXIX.

—namely, the parts of philosophy and the division of its huge bulk into separate members. For by studying the parts we can be brought more easily to understand the whole. I only wish that philosophy might come before our eyes in all her unity, just as the whole expanse of the firmament is spread out for us to gaze upon! It would be a sight closely resembling that of the firmament. For then surely philosophy would ravish all mortals with love for hera; we should abandon all those things which, in our ignorance of what is great, we believe to be great. Inasmuch, however, as this cannot fall to our lot, we must view philosophy just as men gaze upon the secrets of the firmament.

The wise man's mind, to be sure, embraces the whole framework of philosophy, surveying it with no less rapid glance than our mortal eyes survey the heavens; we, however, who must break through the gloom, we whose vision fails even for that which is near at hand, can be shown with greater ease each separate object even though we cannot yet comprehend the universe. I shall therefore comply with your demand, and shall divide philosophy into parts, but not into scraps. For it is useful that philosophy should be divided, but not chopped into Just as it is hard to take in what is indefinitely large, so it is hard to take in what is indefinitely The people are divided into tribes, the army into centuries. Whatever has grown to greater size is more easily identified if it is broken up into parts: but the parts, as I have remarked, must not be countless in number and diminutive in size. over-analysis is faulty in precisely the same way as no analysis at all; whatever you cut so fine that it becomes dust is as good as blended into a mass again. b

Primum itaque, si videtur 1 tibi, dicam, inter sapientiam et philosophiam quid intersit. Sapientia perfectum bonum est mentis humanae. Philosophia sapientiae amor est et adfectatio. Haec eo tendit,2 quo illa pervenit. Philosophia unde dicta sit, ap-Îpso enim nomine fatetur quid amet.3 5 Sapientiam quidam ita finierunt, ut dicerent divinorum et humanorum scientiam. Quidam ita: sapientia est nosse divina et humana et horum causas. Supervacua mihi haec videtur adiectio, quia causae divinorum humanorumque pars divinorum sunt. Philosophiam quoque fuerunt qui aliter atque aliter finirent. Alii studium illam virtutis esse dixerunt. alii studium corrigendae mentis, a quibusdam dicta 6 est adpetitio rectae rationis. Illud quasi constitit, aliquid inter philosophiam et sapientiam interesse. Neque enim fieri potest ut idem sit quod adfectatur et quod adfectat. Quomodo multum inter avaritiam et pecuniam interest, cum illa cupiat, haec concupiscatur, sie inter philosophiam et sapientiam. enim illius effectus ac praemium est; illa venit, ad 7 hanc venitur. 4 Sapientia est, quam Graeci σοφίαν vocant. Hoc verbo Romani quoque utebantur, sicut philosophia nunc quoque utuntur. Quod et togatae

1 si videtur Haase; si ut videtur MSS.
2 co tendit Cornelissen; ostendit B.
3 covid amet Madvic : quidam et B

³ quid amet Madvig; quidam et B.
⁴ venitur Hense; itur MSS. W. Schultz argues that § 7 (sapientia . . . Dossenni lege) has by some error been transferred from its proper position after quo illa pervenit in § 4 to its present place, where it disturbs the sequence of the thought.

a "Love-of-Wisdom."

ο Θείων τε και ανθρωπίνων έπιστήμη, quoted by Plutarch, De Plac. Phil. 874 E.

[·] Cicero, De Off. ii. 2. 5.

EPISTLE LXXXIX.

In the first place, therefore, if you approve, I shall draw the distinction between wisdom and philosophy. Wisdom is the perfect good of the human mind; philosophy is the love of wisdom, and the endeavour to attain it. The latter strives toward the goal which the former has already reached. And it is clear why philosophy was so called. For it acknowledges by its very name the object of its love.a Certain persons have defined wisdom as the knowledge of things divine and things human.b Still others say: "Wisdom is knowing things divine and things human, and their causes also." This added phrase seems to me to be superfluous, since the causes of things divine and things human are a part of the divine system. Philosophy also has been defined in various ways; some have called it "the study of virtue," d others have referred to it as "a study of the way to amend the mind," and some have named it "the search for right reason." thing is practically settled, that there is difference between philosophy and wisdom. indeed is it possible that that which is sought and that which seeks are identical. As there is a great difference between avarice and wealth, the one being the subject of the craving and the other its object, so between philosophy and wisdom. the one is a result and a reward of the other. Philosophy does the going, and wisdom is the goal. Wisdom is that which the Greeks call σοφία. Romans also were wont to use this word in the sense in which they now use "philosophy" also. will be proved to your satisfaction by our old national

· i.e., to make a bona mens out of a mala mens.

a The ἄσκησις άρετῆς of the earlier Stoics. Seneca (Frag. 17) also calls it recta vivendi ratio.

tibi antiquae probabunt et inscriptus Dossenni monumento titulus:

Hospes resiste et sophian Dossenni lege.

8 Quidam ex nostris, quamvis philosophia studium virtutis esset et haec peteretur, illa peteret, tamen non putaverunt illas distrahi posse. Nam nec philosophia sine virtute est nec sine philosophia virtus. Philosophia studium virtutis est, sed per ipsam virtutem; nec virtus autem esse sine studio sui potest nec virtutis studium sine ipsa. Non enim quemadmodum in iis, qui aliquid ex distanti loco ferire conantur, alibi est qui petit, alibi quod petitur. Nec quemadmodum itinera quae ad urbes perducunt, sic viae ad virtutem sunt 1 extra ipsam; ad virtutem venitur per ipsam; cohaerent inter se philosophia virtusque.

9 Philosophiae tres partes esse dixerunt et maximi et plurimi auctores: moralem, naturalem, rationalem. Prima conponit animum. Secunda rerum naturam scrutatur. Tertia proprietates verborum exigit et structuram et argumentationes, ne pro vero falsa subrepant. Ceterum inventi sunt et qui in pauciora 10 philosophiam et qui in plura diducerent. Quidam ex Peripateticis quartam partem adiecerunt ² civilem,

quia propriam quandam exercitationem desideret et

1 sic . . . sunt added by Buecheler, giving the general
sense; there is a lacuna in B, in which traces of a corrupt

text can be made out.

2 adiecerunt later MSS.; adicerent B.

a It is doubtful whether this was the name of a real person, or a mere "Joe Miller" type from the Fabula Atellana. The character in Horace, Ep. ii. 1. 173, is certainly the latter; and the testimony of Pliny (N.II. xiv. 15), who quotes a line from a play called Acharistio, is not reliable.

END OF SAMPLE TEXT



The Complete Text can be found on our CD:

Primary Literary Sources For Ancient Literature
which can be purchased on our Website:

www.Brainfly.net

or

by sending \$64.95 in check or money order to:

Brainfly Inc.

5100 Garfield Ave. #46

Sacramento CA 95841-3839

TEACHER'S DISCOUNT:

If you are a **TEACHER** you can take advantage of our teacher's discount. Click on **Teachers Discount** on our website (www.Brainfly.net) or **Send us \$55.95** and we will send you a full copy of **Primary Literary Sources For Ancient Literature AND our 5000 Classics CD** (a collection of over 5000 classic works of literature in electronic format (.txt)) plus our Wholesale price list.

If you have any suggestions such as books you would like to see added to the collection or if you would like our wholesale prices list please send us an email to:

webcomments@brainfly.net